Cavity Approach to the Random Solid State
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The cavity approach is used to address the physical properties of random solids in equilibrium. Particular attention is paid to the fraction of localized particles and the distribution of localization lengths characterizing their thermal motion. This approach is of relevance to a wide class of random solids, including rubbery media (formed via the vulcanization of polymer fluids) and chemical gels (formed by the random covalent bonding of fluids of atoms or small molecules). The cavity approach confirms results that have been obtained previously via replica mean-field theory, doing so in a way that sheds new light on their physical origin.

PACS numbers:

Introduction—Permanent random chemical bonds, when introduced in sufficient number between the (atomic, molecular or macromolecular) constituents of a fluid, cause a phase transition—the vulcanization transition—to a new equilibrium state of matter: the random solid state. In this state, some fraction of the molecules are spontaneously localized, and thus undergo thermal fluctuations about mean positions, the collection of which positions are random (i.e. exhibit no long-range regularity). As this is a problem of statistical mechanics (the constituents are undergoing thermal motion) in the presence of quenched randomness (the constraints imposed by the random bonding), it has been addressed via the replica technique. Indeed, the example of cross-linked macromolecular matter served as early motivation for Edwards’ development of the replica technique (see, e.g., Ref. 1).

Our purpose in this Letter is to consider two central diagnostics of the random solid state: the fraction \( Q \) of localized particles and the statistical distribution \( \mathcal{N}(\xi^2) \) of squared localization lengths \( \xi^2 \) of these localized particles. Specifically, we show how results for these quantities can be obtained in an elementary and physically transparent way, via the cavity method. The cavity method has proven flexible and powerful in the analysis of a variety of other disordered systems, e.g., spin glasses 2. The present work is based on the version used to address spin glasses having finite connectivity 3.

The results that we shall obtain via the cavity method are amongst those already known via a (less elementary and less physically transparent) application of the replica technique, together with a mean-field approximation; for reviews see Refs. 4,5. Thus, it was already known 6 that (as is typical for mean-field theories) \( Q \) obeys a transcendental equation, in this case

\[
1 - Q = \exp(-\mu^2 Q),
\]

(1)

where \( \mu^2 \) is a parameter that controls the density of cross-links. The instability of the fluid state and its replacement by the random solid state are signaled by the emergence, as \( \mu \) is increased beyond a critical value (here unity), of a positive solution to Eq. (1), although the formulation is not restricted to the critical regime. This result for \( Q \) was, in essence, found by Erdős and Rényi, in their classic work on the statistical properties of random graphs 6. As for the distribution \( \mathcal{N}(\xi^2) \), at the mean-field level and for near-critical values of the cross-link density, the replica approach yields the scaling form

\[
\mathcal{N}(\xi^2) = \frac{2}{\epsilon c^2} \pi(\frac{2}{\epsilon c^2}),
\]

(2)

where \( \epsilon [\pi(\mu^2 - 1)] \) measures the distance from the critical point, and in which the scaling function \( \pi \) obeys the nonlinear integro-differential equation

\[
\frac{d}{d\theta} (\frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \pi(\theta)) = \pi(\theta) - (\pi \circ \pi)(\theta),
\]

(3)

where \( \pi \circ \pi \) indicates a Laplace convolution 7. This result was obtained from a semi-microscopic approach by Castillo et al. 6 and re-derived via a Landau-type theory by Peng et al. 10. Some support for the results for \( Q \) and \( \pi \) has been obtained numerically 11 and experimentally 12.

More recently, the mean-field level results have been improved in two directions: via renormalization-group analysis in the vicinity of the upper critical dimension (which is six for this random solidification transition) 13, 14, and via analysis of the Goldstone fluctuations 15 (notably in two dimensions, where their consequences are—not surprisingly—dramatic). We pause to mention that we view the cavity method as complementing rather than supplanting the replica method: the latter provides access to the powerful array of field-theoretic tools, the former opens the way to a perturbative treatment of correlations beyond mean-field.

Cavity method for randomly cross-linked macromolecules, especially in the vicinity of the random solidification transition—We begin by considering a system of vulcanized macromolecules, as depicted in Fig. 1(a). We characterize the system by the fraction \( Q \) of localized chain segments

...
FIG. 1: (a) Snapshot of a cross-linked (open circles) macromolecular system, in which a fraction $Q$ of the macromolecules belong to the infinite cluster (and are thus localized), and the remaining fraction $1 - Q$ are delocalized. A localized segment exhibits thermal position-fluctuations over a length-scale $\xi$ (i.e., its localization length). Due to the heterogeneity of the network, distinct segments have distinct localization lengths. (b) Coupling of a new macromolecule (dashed) to the system in order to probe the distribution $\mathcal{N}(\xi^2)$. The new macromolecule has $N_c$ contact points with the existing network, and cross-links are introduced independently at these points.

and the statistical distribution $\mathcal{N}$ of mean squared localization lengths $\xi^2$ of the localized particles. We then envisage adding a further macromolecule to the system, as shown in Fig. 1b. Of all the segments on this chain, we suppose that a certain number $N_c$ are sufficiently close to segments of the original system to have a chance of becoming cross-linked to them. We suppose that fluctuations in this number are sufficiently small that we may neglect them. Next, we consider a random cross-linking process that results, with independent probability $p$, in cross-links actually being introduced between each of the $N_c$ close pairs. Within this framework, the probability that exactly $k$ cross-links are introduced is then given by the binomial formula: $\binom{N_c}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{N_c - k}$. We now ask the question: What is the probability $\mathcal{P}_k$ that exactly $k$ of these cross-links are made to localized segments? To answer it, we make the approximation that the probabilities for the segments of the original system to be localized describe independent random variables, in which case the probability of any one such segment being localized is $Q$. Then, collecting together the contributions to this probability, which arise from $k'$ (with $k \leq k' \leq N_c$) cross-links being formed, of which exactly $k$ are to localized segments, we arrive at the formula

$$\mathcal{P}_k = \sum_{k' = k}^{N_c} \binom{N_c}{k'} p^{k'} (1 - p)^{N_c - k'} Q^{k'} (1 - Q)^{k' - k}. \quad (4)$$

Via a straightforward application of the binomial theorem one can perform this summation, and hence arrive at the result

$$\mathcal{P}_k = \binom{N_c}{k} (pQ)^k (1 - pQ)^{N_c - k}. \quad (5)$$

Let us evaluate these probabilities for the three cases of relevance, viz.,

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = (1 - pQ)^{N_c}, \quad (6a)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = N_c pQ (1 - pQ)^{N_c - 1}, \quad (6b)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \frac{1}{2} N_c (N_c - 1) (pQ)^2 (1 - pQ)^{N_c - 2}. \quad (6c)$$

In the limit of main interest, viz. $pQ \ll 1$, these probabilities simplify to

$$(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2) \approx e^{-N_c pQ} \left(1, N_c pQ, \frac{1}{2} N_c (N_c - 1) (pQ)^2\right). \quad (7)$$

To arrive at a self-consistent equation for $Q$ (as a function of $p$ and $N_c$) we require that the probability of the added macromolecule being cross-linked to exactly zero localized segments be $1 - Q$, which gives

$$1 - Q = (1 - pQ)^{N_c}. \quad (8)$$

In the limit $pQ \ll 1$ this becomes

$$1 - Q = \exp (-N_c pQ), \quad (9)$$

i.e., Eq. (4), provided we make the (physically sensible) identification $pN_c \equiv \mu^2$.

To arrive at a self-consistent equation for $\mathcal{N}$ (as a function of $p$, $N_c$ and $\xi^2$) we address the probability that the segment at arc-length $\sigma$ (with $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$) on the added macromolecule has squared localization length $\xi^2$. As the segments of the added macromolecule will only be localized if they are attached to at least one localized segment of the original system, we should replace the probabilities $\{\mathcal{P}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ by the probabilities conditioned on at least one attachment being to a localized segment of the original system (i.e. $k = 1, 2$). Thus we arrive at the probabilities $\{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2\}$ which we write in the form $(1 - a, a)$. Consider the situation in which the added macromolecule is attached at its arc-length $\sigma_1$ to a single segment of the original system, that segment having squared localization length $\xi_1^2$, as depicted in Fig. 1b. Furthermore, suppose that the added chain is Gaussian. Then, by the elementary properties of random walks, the mean squared spatial separation of segments separated by arc-length $|\sigma - \sigma_1|$ is given by $|\sigma - \sigma_1| R_k^2$, where $R_k^2$ is the mean...
segments of the original system, these segments having the distribution for the squared localization length of the \( \sigma \) molecule is attached at its arc-lengths localization lengths.

Then, as this object is attached in this situation it will be adequate to treat the added system is (in the limit of interest) much smaller than the infinite cluster.

In Fig. 2b. In fact, as the probability that the added chain will be given by

\[
\int d\xi_1^2 N(\xi_1^2) d\xi_2^2 N(\xi_2^2) \delta \left( \xi^2 - \left( \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 \right) \right). \tag{13}
\]

We now put these results together to construct the distribution of squared localization lengths for segment \( \sigma \) of the added chain, arriving at

\[
(1-a) \left( N(\xi^2) - |\sigma - \sigma_1| R_g^2 N'(\xi^2) \right) + a \int d\xi_1^2 N(\xi_1^2) d\xi_2^2 N(\xi_2^2) \delta \left( \xi^2 - \left( \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 \right) \right)^{-1}. \tag{14}
\]

Finally, we average over the segment \( \sigma \) of the added chain, as well as the location \( \sigma_1 \) of the cross-link, using \( \int d\sigma d\sigma' |\sigma - \sigma'| = 1/3 \), thus arriving at the self-consistent equation obeyed by the distribution of squared localization lengths:

\[
N(\xi^2) = (1-a) \left( N(\xi^2) - \frac{a}{3} R_g^2 N'(\xi^2) \right)
\]

\[
+ a \int d\xi_1^2 N(\xi_1^2) d\xi_2^2 N(\xi_2^2) \delta \left( \xi^2 - \left( \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 \right) \right)^{-1}. \tag{15}
\]

Observe that by integrating both sides over \( \xi^2 \) and invoking the property that \( N \) vanishes at the limits \( \xi^2 = 0 \) and \( \infty \), we recover the normalization condition that \( \int d\xi^2 N(\xi^2) = 1 \). The scaling property of \( N \) shows up via the following change of dependent and independent variables:

\[
\xi^2 \rightarrow \theta = \frac{2}{3} \frac{1 - a}{a} \frac{R_g^2}{\xi^2}, \tag{16a}
\]

\[
N(\xi^2) \rightarrow \pi(\theta) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1 - a}{1 - a} \frac{\xi^2}{R_g^2} N(\xi^2), \tag{16b}
\]

under which Eq. \( 15 \) becomes the sought integro-differential equation \( \mathcal{K} \). We identify the parameter \( \epsilon \) in Eq. \( \mathcal{K} \) with \( \frac{a}{1 - a R_g^2} \). Notice that the cavity method allows us to compute corrections to Eq. \( \mathcal{K} \) perturbatively in \( 1/R_g \).

**Cavity method for randomly bonded Brownian particles at arbitrary bonding densities**—The cavity approach can be extended to address the chemical gelation transition, i.e., the transition triggered by the introduction of random covalent bonds between atoms or small molecules (rather than macromolecules) in the liquid state. We shall address the model studied previously by Broderix et al. \[14\], which consists of a collection of point particles undergoing Brownian motion at a certain temperature. Permanent bonds are then introduced at random between nearby particles, so that pairs of bonded particles become constrained softly (i.e. by a spring-like, harmonic

**FIG. 2:** (a) The added macromolecule is attached at a single segment to the infinite cluster (i.e. the shaded region). (b) The added macromolecule is attached at two segments to the infinite cluster.
localized particle, its localization length $\xi_1$ identical springs to $k$ for the fraction of the infinite cluster Eq. (5). As a consequence, we obtain the foregoing result having exactly apply, and we arrive at the formula for the probability of nearby. Thus, the foregoing combinatorics continues to apply, and we arrive at the formula for the probability of having exactly $k$ bonds with the infinite cluster given in Eq. (5). As a consequence, we obtain the foregoing result for the fraction of the infinite cluster Q, Eq. (9).

The physics of the localization lengths is, in fact, simpler for bonded Brownian particles. When the new particle is connected via a spring of length-scale $l$ to one localized particle, its localization length $\Sigma_1$ is given by $\Sigma_1^{-2} = (\xi_1^2 + l^2)^{-1}$. When it is connected in parallel via identical springs to $k$ localized particles, its localization length $\Sigma_k$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{\Sigma_k^2} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\xi_j^2 + l^2}. \quad (18)$$

To construct the distribution of the squared localization length of the new particle, we shall average over all possible numbers of bonds, weighted with their corresponding probabilities. These probabilities follow from the probabilities $p_k$ given by Eq. (5), but normalized by a factor $Q^{-1}$ because the new particle will only be localized if it is bonded to at least one particle in the infinite cluster. Hence, we arrive at a self-consistency equation for localization-length distribution for the randomly bonded Brownian particle model:

$$N(\xi^2) = \frac{1}{\Sigma_k} \int_0^{\infty} N(\xi_k^2) \cdots N(\xi_1^2) \delta(\xi^2 - \Sigma_k^2), \quad (19)$$

where the conditional probabilities $\hat{p}_k$ are given by $\hat{p}_k = p_k/Q$ (for $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$). The distribution of localization lengths for the randomly bonded Brownian particle model was studied previously by Broderix et al. [16] using the method and a Mayer cluster expansion. To see that the cavity approach result [19] recovers their result, we take the limit $N_c \to \infty$ whilst keeping finite the mean number of bonds from the new particle (either to the infinite cluster or to delocalized particles, i.e. $p N_c$). In this limit, the binomial distribution tends to a Poisson distribution: $\hat{p}_k \to (p N_c)^k Q^{-1} / k!$. The result of Broderix et al. [16] then follows from Eq. (19) by (i) transforming to a distribution for $\tau \equiv 1/\xi^2$, and (ii) making the identifications $s = l^{-2}$ and $c = p N_c$ (i.e. the mean of number of bonds associated with a single particle).
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[9] As $\pi$ is a distribution it must be non-negative and normalized: $\int_0^\infty d\theta \pi(\theta) = 1$. Equation (3) is, however, self-normalizing, in the sense that solutions that behave reasonably, as $\theta$ tends to zero or infinity, are automatically normalized, as integrating the equation between these limits, term by term, reveals.